EXHIBIT C

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that where a Plaintiff is unresponsive to counsel, counsel should be permitted to withdraw, and the Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed for failure to prosecute if the Plaintiff, having received adequate notice, does not reengage and advise the Court of a desire to proceed. The Parties propose below a protocol for handling attorney withdrawal and dismissal under Rule 41(b) in these circumstances.

WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 11-5, counsel may withdraw from representation only with the Court's permission. The Court has discretion to determine whether a request to withdraw is made with good cause. In exercising this discretion, it applies California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(b), which lists factors warranting permissive withdrawal of counsel. Under the Rule, withdrawal is permissible where the client's conduct "renders it unreasonably difficult for the lawyer to carry out the representation effectively." Cal. Rule of Prof'l Conduct 1.16(b)(4).

WHEREAS, it is unreasonably difficult for a personal injury plaintiff's counsel to carry out the representation in this MDL if the plaintiff fails or refuses to communicate with counsel. See Tikotzky v. Remax Real Pros, 2020 WL 13281523, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 13, 2020); Connally v. Cafe, 2016 WL 1697937, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2016); Ortiz v. Freitas, 2015 WL 3826151, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 18, 2015) ("Mr. Ortiz's failure to maintain regular contact with his counsel and cooperate in moving the litigation forward constitutes good cause for withdrawal."). Where both the Court and counsel have provided explicit written notice, permitting withdrawal does not cause harm to the administration of justice and will advance, rather than delay, the ultimate resolution of the plaintiff's case. See In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Pracs., & ProdProds. Liab. Litig., 2019 WL 13268604, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2019). This may be so even if the client does not consent to the withdrawal. Robinson v. Delgado, 2010 WL 3259384, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2010) (noting that the "consent of the client is not dispositive").

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) provides for the involuntary dismissal of an action when "the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). "District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and, '[i]n the exercise of that power they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate, . . . dismissal of a case." Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992) (alterations in original) (citation omitted). The need for vigilance in maintaining control over the Court's docket and promoting efficient conduct of the litigation is more acute, and the Court's discretion is accordingly greater, in the context of multidistrict litigation. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Prods. Liability Liab. Litig., 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); see also In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Prods. Liab. Litig., 496 F.3d 863, 867 (8th Cir. 2007) (noting that "MDL courts must be given greater discretion to organize, coordinate and adjudicate its proceedings, including the dismissal of cases for failure to comply with its orders"). The Court has the power to dismiss an action sua sponte for failure to prosecute. Ash v. Cvetkov, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984).

WHEREAS, when determining whether to dismiss an action for failure to prosecute, courts weigh five factors: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." *In re PPA*, 460 F.3d at 1226 (citation omitted). Taking these factors into consideration, several courts in the Ninth Circuit have ruled that a plaintiff who fails or refuses to communicate with their attorneys should at least be required to show cause why their case should not be dismissed. *See Williams v. County of Fresno*, 2022 WL 209327 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 3,

2022) (recommending dismissal)¹; *McConnell v. United States*, 2021 WL 4818946 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2021) (ordering the plaintiff to show cause).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL:

- 1. If counsel for any plaintiff plaintiff has lost the ability to communicate effectively with that plaintiff, counsel shouldshall move the Court for permission to withdraw pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11-5. In so moving, Plaintiff's counsel must document that his or her client has repeatedly failed to respond to counsel's communications in a manner consistent with counsel's confidentiality obligations.
- 2. The Court will then enter a show-cause order (attached hereto as Exhibit A) directing the plaintiff to advise the court on writing within thirty-five (35) days whether he or she intends to proceed with the case, either through current counsel, with substitute counsel, or without counsel. The order will advise the plaintiff that if the plaintiff responds to Plaintiff informs the Court that he or she no longer desires to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond within the time provided, the plaintiff's claims will be dismissed for failure to prosecute under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
- 3. Simultaneous with any motion to withdraw, the <u>plaintiff's Plaintiff's Plaintiff's</u> counsel must send to the <u>plaintiff Plaintiff</u>, by any means available, written notice that counsel has sought the Court's permission to withdraw because of the failure to communicate.
- 4. Plaintiff's counsel shall mail the Court's show-cause order to the plaintiff at the plaintiff's plaintiff's home address. The plaintiff's plaintiff's counsel shall be required to make diligent continued efforts to contact the plaintiff during the

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Findings and recommendations adopted, 2022 WL 624567 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2022).

1	35-day notice period. The plaintiff's Plaintiff's counsel shall promptly inform the Court if it					
2	succeeds in re-establishing communication with the plaintiff during the 35-day notice					
3	period.					
4	5. If the plaintiff laintiff timely responds to the show-cause order, the Court shall					
5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
6	exercise its discretion in determining whether to permit counsel's withdrawal.					
7	6. If the plaintiff timely responds to the show-cause order and states that					
8	he or she no longer wishes to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff plaintiff fails to respond					
9	to the show-cause order, the Court shall enter an order permitting counsel's withdrawal and					
10	shall separately enter an order dismissing the plaintiff's claims Plaintiff's claims under Fed. R					
11	Civ. P. 41(b) (attached hereto as Exhibit B).					
12						
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.					
14	Dated: November 2, 2024					
15	By: /s/Rachel B. Abrams	Respectfully submitted, By: /s/				
16	RACHEL B. ABRAMS (SBN 209316)	MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)				
17	PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE	mshortnacy@shb.com				
1 /	CONWAY & WISE, LLP 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, L.L.P. 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3000				
18	San Francisco, CA 94111	Los Angeles, CA 90067				
19	Telephone: (415) 426-5641	Telephone: (424) 285-8330				
1)	Email: rabrams@peifferwolf.com	Facsimile: (424) 204-9093				
20	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	DATEDICK COTT (D. W. W.)				
21	ROOPAL P. LUHANA (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	PATRICK OOT (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) oot@shb.com				
	CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, L.L.P.				
22	600 Third Avenue, Fl. 12	1800 K Street NW, Suite 1000				
23	New York, NY 10016	Washington, DC 20006				
23	Telephone: (888) 480-1123	Telephone: (202) 783-8400				
24	Email: luhana@chaffinluhana.com	Facsimile: (202) 783-4211				
25	SARAH R. LONDON (SBN 267083)	RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)				
26	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN	rluskey@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &				
27	275 Battery Street, Fl. 29	GARRISON LLP				
28	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000	535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105				
		•				

	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1823-3 Filed 11/04/24 Page 7 of 14			
1	Email: slondon@lchb.com Telephone: (628) 432-5100			
2	Facsimile: (628) 232-3101			
3	ROBERT ATKINS (Pro Hac Vice)			
4	ratkins@paulweiss.com CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
5	cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com ANDREA M. KELLER (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
6	akeller@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &			
7	GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas			
8	New York, NY 10019			
9	Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990			
10				
11	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
12	I hereby certify the on October 16 November 2, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing			
13	document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send			
14	notification of the filing to all counsel of record.			
15	/s/Rachel B. Abrams			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
28	6			
	0			

make diligent continued efforts to contact the Plaintiff during the 35-day notice period. Plaintiff's counsel shall promptly inform the Court if it succeeds in re-establishing communication with the **plaintiff Plaintiff** during the 35-day notice period.

- 3. If the Plaintiff timely responds to this show-cause order, the Court shall exercise its discretion in determining whether to permit counsel's withdrawal.
- 4. If the Plaintiff timely responds to this show-cause order and states that he or she no longer wishes to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond to the show-cause order, the Court shall enter an order permitting counsel's withdrawal and shall separately enter an order dismissing the plaintiff's Plaintiff's claims under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

SO ORDERED on this ____ day of _______, 20____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge

	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Do	cument 1823-3	Filed 11/04/24	Page 10 of 14		
1						
1						
<u>2</u>						
<u>3</u>	* In India					
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
<u>5</u>	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
<u>6</u>	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB					
<u>7</u>	<u>LITIGATION</u>	 	RDER RE WITH			
<u>8</u>		\ =	<u>'OUNSEL AND DI</u> 'LAIMS	SMISSAL OF		
9	-					
<u>10</u>	This document relates to:	Ž J				
<u>11</u>	[Insert Case Caption and Civil No.]	Action 1				
<u>12</u>	<u> </u>	Ž Ž				
<u>13</u>		j				
<u>14</u>						
<u>15</u>	Pursuant to Civil Local	Rule 11-5, counse	<u>l for Plaintiff filed</u>	a motion to withdraw		
<u>16</u>	<u>as</u>					
<u>17</u>	counsel because counsel has los	t the ability to co	mmunicate effectiv	vely with Plaintiff. The		
<u>18</u>	Court entered a show-cause ord	ler on,	requiring Plaintif	f to advise the Court in		
<u>19</u>	writing within thirty-five (35) of	lays whether he	or she intended to	proceed with the case,		
<u>20</u>	either through current counsel	with substitute (counsel, or without	t counsel. Plaintiff has		
<u>21</u>	either through current counsel, with substitute counsel, or without counsel. Plaintiff has					
22 23	either failed to inform the Court whether he or she intended to proceed with the case					
<u>24</u>	within the time allotted or ha	s informed the (<u>Court that he or s</u>	he does not intend to		
<u>25</u>	proceed with the case.					
26	Accordingly, it is ORDERED th	<u>iat:</u>				
<u>27</u>	1. Plaintiff's counsel's 1	notion to withdra	w is granted.			
<u>28</u>	2. Plaintiff's claims are dismissed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).					
_		<u>1</u>				
	STIPULATION RE: WITHDR		NSEL	3:23-md-03084-CRB		

(Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 1823-3	Filed 11/04/24	Page 11 of 14
<u>1</u>				
= 2 =				
<u>3</u>	SO ORDERED on this	day of	, 20	
4 =	SO ORDERED OII UIIS	uay or	<u>, 20</u>	
<u>5</u>				
<u>€</u>			CHARLES R. BR States District Jud	
<u>7</u>				_
<u>8</u>				
<u>9</u>				
<u>10</u>				
<u>11</u>				
<u>12</u>				
<u>13</u>				
<u>14</u>				
<u>15</u>				
<u>16</u>				
<u>17</u>				
<u>18</u>				
<u>19</u>				
<u>20</u>				
<u>21</u>				
<u>22</u>				
<u>23</u>				
<u>24</u>				
<u>25</u>				
<u>26</u>				
<u>27</u>				
<u>28</u>				
	STIPULATION RE: WITI		NGET	3:23-md-03084-CR

3

5

4

6

7

9

10 11

ALL ACTIONS

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

This document relates to:

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL FOR UNRESPONSIVE PLAINTIFFS

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

The Court hereby GRANTS the parties' Stipulation Regarding Withdrawal of Counsel for Unresponsive Plaintiffs as follows:

- 1. If counsel for any plaintiff plaintiff has lost the ability to communicate effectively with that plaintiff counsel should shall move the Court for permission to withdraw pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11-5. In so moving, Plaintiff's counsel must document that his or her client has repeatedly failed to respond to counsel's communications in a manner consistent with counsel's confidentiality obligations.
- 2. The Court will then enter a show-cause order (attached hereto as Exhibit A) directing the plaintiff to advise the court in writing within thirty-five (35) days whether he or she intends to proceed with the case, either through current counsel, with substitute counsel, or without counsel. The order will advise the plaintiff that if the

plaintiff responds to Plaintiff informs the Court that he or she no longer desires to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond within the time provided, the plaintiff's Plaintiff's claims will be dismissed for failure to prosecute under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

- 3. Simultaneous with any motion to withdraw, the <u>plaintiff's Plaintiff's</u> counsel must send to the <u>plaintiff Plaintiff</u>, by any means available, written notice that counsel has sought the Court's permission to withdraw because of the failure to communicate.
- 4. Plaintiff's counsel shall mail the Court's show-cause order to the plaintiff at the plaintiff's plaintiff's home address. The plaintiff's plaintiff's counsel shall be required to make diligent continued efforts to contact the plaintiff during the 35-day notice period. The plaintiff's plaintiff's counsel shall promptly inform the Court if it succeeds in re-establishing communication with the plaintiff during the 35-day notice period.
- 5. If the **plaintiff** timely responds to the show-cause order, the Court shall exercise its discretion in determining whether to permit counsel's withdrawal.
- 6. If the plaintiff timely responds to the show-cause order and states that he or she no longer wishes to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond to the show-cause order, the Court shall enter an order permitting counsel's withdrawal and shall separately enter an order dismissing the plaintiff's claims under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (attached hereto as Exhibit B).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _______, 2024

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District JudgefeJudge

Summary report:					
Litera Compare for Word 11.2.0.54 Document comparison done on					
11/2/2024 7:44:37 PM					
Style name: PW Basic					
Intelligent Table Comparison: Active					
Original filename: Original.docx					
Modified filename: Modified.docx					
Changes:					
Add	68				
Delete	54				
Move From	0				
Move To	0				
Table Insert	1				
Table Delete	0				
Table moves to	0				
Table moves from	0				
Embedded Graphics (Visio, ChemDraw, Images etc.)	0				
Embedded Excel	0				
Format changes	0				
Total Changes: 123					